

ALL THE
FACTS
THAT
SHOULD BE
PRINTED

HEADLINES

AND WHAT'S BEHIND THEM

FOR
STUDENTS
WRITERS
AND
SPEAKERS

Vol. IV. No. 4

August 29, 1952

TEN CENTS A COPY

Nation's Reds Gang-up to Beat Sen. Joe McCarthy

SEND SLUSH FUNDS TO AID OPPOSITION

Get Help From Paul Hoffman
Harry Truman, Ad Stevenson
Ike Eisenhower & Dick Nixon

The Presidential campaign has almost been crowded out of the picture in Wisconsin where a savage fight is being waged to defeat Senator Joe McCarthy in the September 9th primaries.

This is not an ordinary primary contest. There is no opposition against McCarthy in the regular Republican organization, which has endorsed him for re-election. The main opposition to returning Fighting Joe to the Senate comes mainly from outside the state. All the un-American elements whom McCarthy has exposed and fought during his years in Washington have ganged up to beat him.

The "Get McCarthy" campaign has been going on for many months, having first crystallized early in the year when the Left Wing *New York Post* published a series of articles smearing the Senator and trying to build up Wisconsin nonentities to run against him.

If the subversives can retire McCarthy, they believe that the whole national anti-Communist picture will be transformed. For millions of Americans, McCarthy has become the symbol of a courageous, unsparring attack upon entrenched Communism and pro-Communism in Washing-

Top Socialist Quits Red Party Backs Stevenson

CHICAGO — Prof. Maynard Krueger of the University of Chicago, a member of the Socialist Party for 25 years and at various times its candidate for Vice-President and for other national and city offices, has announced that he is quitting the Socialists and backing Gov. Adlai Stevenson for President.

SOME FACTS ABOUT STEVENSON, SPARKMAN EISENHOWER, McCARTHY NIXON & A NEW PARTY

This entire issue is devoted to the above subjects

Gen. Ike Finally Decides to Be a REPUBLICAN!!

NEW YORK, Aug. 26 — General Dwight D. Eisenhower became a Republican today.

Although he has been the Presidential candidate of the Republican Party for five weeks, the General had never enrolled as a member of a political party until he registered this morning at the Board of Elections.

Ike registered twice before, in 1949 and 1950, but on each occasion he preferred not to indicate a party preference.

ton. He has followed through, where other investigators have pulled their punches.

The prolonged spontaneous ovation which greeted him at the Chicago Republican convention demonstrated the tremendous hold which the Wisconsin Senator has on the public imagination.

To defeat such a man would be a body blow to the whole cause which he represents. Other Senators will hesitate in the future to tangle with Communism and its friends if McCarthy falls.

While most of their work is undercover, the subversive groups operating from New York have sent a huge slush fund into Wisconsin to swing the primary against the Senator. The same disreputable figures who have done hatchet jobs in recent years on Burton K. Wheeler, Gerald P. Nye, Martin Dies, Hamilton Fish, Chapman Revercomb, Pat Mc-

Carran and others are out in full cry against McCarthy. They will stop at nothing to defeat him.

Working in their favor is the fact that McCarthy is presently convalescing from a serious operation and will be unable to campaign the State before the primaries. The strategy of his enemies is to hit him while he is down.

Spearheading the anti-McCarthy drive in the primary is an opportunist political sharp-shooter named Leonard Schmitt. This worthy makes no concealment of the fact that he will vote the Democratic ticket if the Senator is renominated.

Schmitt has plenty of money behind him. He has been running "talkathons" on radio stations all over the state. His answers to planted questions are a running stream of smear against Senator McCarthy.

Also, the State has been blanketed with a 136-page book, in pocket size, abusing McCarthy. The book was edited by Morris B. Rubin, old-time Socialist and editor of *The Progressive*, a radical weekly. The book is ostensibly sponsored by the 'Wisconsin Citizens Committee,' which includes Herman Steffes, State head of the CIO, and George Haberman, state head of the AFL. Neither Steffes nor Haberman have ever been known to support the Republican Party. However, both are now operating in the Republican primary to beat McCarthy.

(Continued on page seven)

WHAT TO DO NOW?
(see page six)

General Marshall Deeply Touched By 'His Boys'

Hails Ad's and Ike's Defense
Against Attack by McCarthy

SANTA BARBARA, Calif., Aug. 29 — Gen. George C. Marshall, vacationing here, said today that he was deeply touched that his "boys," Gen. Eisenhower and Gov. Stevenson, had defended him against Sen. Joe McCarthy's attacks.

General Marshall, held mainly responsible for the mistakes at Yalta and in the Far East which led to Soviet Russia's ascendancy as a major threat to world peace, explained that both Presidential candidates "are my boys."

"I sent Gen. Eisenhower to Europe," he said. "Gov. Stevenson, with me while I was Secretary of State, gave valuable assistance on both the United Nations and our program for economic aid for Europe."

Henry A. Wallace Lauds Both Ike And Stevenson

Have His 'Highest Respect'
Too Early to Make a Choice

Former Vice-President Henry A. Wallace, who ran for President on the Communist-dominated Progressive Party ticket four years ago thinks very highly of both major party Presidential candidates.

"I've known Stevenson for nearly twenty years and have always had the highest respect for his ability, judgment and integrity," Mr. Wallace said. "For Eisenhower, I have an equal respect. His record has fitted him for leading the United States in times like these."

"It is too early to arrive at a decision with regard to these two fine men. A lot depends on the forces which group behind them."

Who Promoted and What's Behind Adlai Stevenson

HEADLINES has devoted three successive issues to telling the story of the un-American groups and individuals who master-minded, promoted and backed the Eisenhower candidacy.

Now it becomes our painful duty to point out that Governor Adlai Stevenson was maneuvered into the Democratic nomination by the same, and similar, unsavory and subversive political elements. The full story of the meteoric rise of Gov. Stevenson has been observed. In the following article some of the scattered factors, incidents and personalities in his recent career are brought into perspective.

Prior to his nomination, little was known of Governor Adlai Stevenson to link him with subversive elements. His statement in defense of Alger Hiss at the first Hiss trial raised serious doubts as to his judgement and soundness on the Communist issue. His veto of the Broyles anti-Communist bill in 1951 seemed to confirm these doubts.

And up until the time of the Democratic convention, there was little evidence that he was held in high esteem by the top brass of the Left Wing mob that has been so influential in the Roosevelt and Truman administrations, or that he was the intended choice for the Presidency of the inner circle Reds, or the Anti-Defamation League.

It had been known that President Truman was interested in the Stevenson candidacy, and that Boss Jake Arvey and ex-Senator Scott Lucas had been actively promoting the Governor as a Presidential hopeful. But these efforts were ascribed to ordinary political considerations.

Now it is interesting to recall that when Scott Lucas was the majority Whip of the Senate, he was also a mouthpiece for the Anti-Defamation League, the powerful undercover subversive political movement which first launched the Eisenhower booms in 1947 and 1951, and which is operated by a former member of the Communist spy ring.

It now develops that an Illinois affiliate of the Socialist ADA, Independent Voters of Illinois, of which Adlai is a member, was the first organization to launch the Stevenson boom.

IVI is headed by Leo Lerner, treasurer of Americans for Democratic Action, who is a member and active worker for the Anti-Defamation League.

The real character of Stevenson's support became apparent only after the Democratic convention was actually in session. Then things began to happen.

The first incident in the devious intrigues which led to Governor Stevenson's nomination was the crude rejection by the labor bosses of the candidacy of Vice President Barkley. The Veep had been summoned to breakfast with Jack Kroll, head of the CIO-Political Action Committee, and other labor leaders. Kroll bluntly said he was "too old" and told him to get out of the race.

In announcing his withdrawal Barkley declared:

"Since arriving in Chicago, I have learned that certain self-anointed political labor leaders have taken it upon themselves to announce their opposition to me as the Democratic nominee for President. They have admitted to me that weeks ago they committed themselves to a program and candidates other than myself which would give them greater control of the machinery and policies of the Democratic Party."

The nomination of Stevenson HAD been arranged weeks before, and when the psychological moment arrived Jack Kroll sent word to the more than 100 delegates who were CIO members, or were controlled by the CIO, to cast their votes for Stevenson.

Working closely with Kroll was Walter Reuther, who is both a CIO and ADA leader, and David Dubinsky, another ADA stalwart and spokesman for the Left Wing faction of the AFL.

But the Left Wing labor bosses were not alone in the deal. Max Lowenthal, President Truman's trouble-shooter was conferring with Kroll and

WARNING!

Don't lend your copy of HEADLINES! Don't give it away! Hold on to it. STUDY IT. Keep it handy for future reference. Tell your friends the TRUTH it reveals!

Your friends will want HEADLINES. Get a supply and mail them around in your business and community circles. Send a dollar bill for a dozen copies. Or get sixty-five copies for five dollars. Don't wait. Do it right NOW!!

THE ADA — THE POWER BEHIND THE CANDIDATE

A Pro-Comm Socialist Front

All signs point to a new ascendancy in Washington of the Americans for Democratic Action if Adlai Stevenson is elected President.

Governor Stevenson has given unmistakable indication of his leanings by his appointment of ADA leaders to the key spots in his campaign setup.

If Stevenson is elected, this will mean that United States policy will be guided by an organization, closely controlled by life-long Socialists, and which is allied with the British Labor (Socialist) Party.

Actually it will mean that, without an electoral victory, Socialism will be in power in the United States.

Despite the fact that ADA supported Truman in 1948, and was honored by the Truman presence at its 1952 convention, its role has been secondary, although powerful, in his administration. However with Gov. Stevenson in the White House, the ADA would come into its own.

Although it is careful to avoid the use of the word 'Socialist' in its pronouncements, the ADA is actually the present successor of the old Socialist Party.

Real power in the ADA is exercised by two union leaders, David Dubinsky (AFL) and Walter Reuther (CIO). Both are life-long members of the Socialist Party. Russian-born Dubinsky was imprisoned in Czarist Russia for revolutionary activity before coming to this country. He carried a red card in the American Socialist Party until 1936 when he switched to the Communist front American Labor Party, and later to the (Socialist) Liberal Party.

Reuther, the scion of a Socialist family, was first a member of the Young Peoples Socialist League and later of the Socialist Party. He spent 18 months in Soviet Russia as a Communist admirer in 1933-34, and wrote back during that period: "We are more than just sympathetic toward our country (the Soviet Union), we are ready to fight for it and its ideals."

Carry on the fight for a Soviet America."

Although both Dubinsky and Reuther are now outspoken anti-Stalinists, neither has

ever repudiated Socialism. Their present preference is for the British Labor Party model of Socialism, rather than the Russian type.

But both are anti-capitalist. A book written by David C. Williams, "International Director" of the ADA, entitled "The Intelligent Socialists' Guide to America," and which was distributed in England, describes the ADA as follows: "ADA is not a political party. It operates very much as the early (British) Fabian (Socialist) Society did, seeking to permeate the existing parties with new ideals and personalities."

Although the ADA struts as an 'anti-Communist' organization, it is notorious that its leaders show a strange affinity for Communist and Communist-supported causes.

Some of its enthusiasms have been the following:

(1) Demand for the repeal of the Smith Act (under which the Communist Party leaders have been prosecuted and convicted);

(2) Demand for an investigation of the FBI, and the barring of wiretapping as a means of securing evidence against subversives;

(3) Official support of Secretary of State Acheson against the charges of maintaining Communist and fellow travelers on the State Department payroll. These charges 'revolted' the ADA, its resolution declared.

(4) Demand for the abolition of the House Committee on Un-American Activities.

(5) Demand for the abolition of the loyalty test for Federal employees, except in the departments concerned with national security.

(6) Demand for the recall of General MacArthur (two years before this act was taken)

(7) Demand (in 1949) for American recognition of the Chinese Communist regime.

(8) Protest against the outlawing of the Communist Party.

(9) Opposition to the McCarran Anti-Communist Bill.

(10) Demand for a Compulsory Federal FEPC.

Governor Stevenson's open recognition of this extreme Left-Wing outfit reveals a truly dangerous side of his character.

It indicates how far the national Democratic Party has already drifted down the fatal road to Socialism.

It also gives General Eisenhower a potent campaign issue if he has the political sagacity to raise it.

EISENHOWER AND NIXON VS. SENATOR JOE MCCARTHY



MCCARTHY

Both General Eisenhower and Senator Nixon exhibited cringing moral cowardice in the handling of the Joe McCarthy issue, at their respective press conferences.

The question, "Will you support Senator McCarthy?" was an obvious trick question by Fair Deal Left Wingers to maneuver Ike into repudiating McCarthy and thus split the Republican Party. Ike and Dick could easily have eliminated the issue permanently from the campaign by a plain, unquibbling "Yes."

Instead of taking this logical step, both the General and the Senator became devious and legalistic, and proceeded to qualify their endorsements. In doing so, they gave partial support to the Communist-invented myth that there is something wrong about Joe McCarthy. This was precisely the blunder the New and Fair Dealers wanted them to make.

Ike's statement was at pains to emphasize that he "would have nothing to do with a man who, in effect, said George Marshall is a traitor."

But where did Ike get his information? Not from McCarthy's own speech on Marshall, nor from his book on Marshall, 'Retreat from Victory.' A careful reading of both shows that in no place did McCarthy use or imply the epithet 'traitor' in referring to General Marshall.

In his book, 'McCarthyism', the Senator directly covers this point. In answer to the question, "Did you accuse Marshall of being a traitor?" he gives a categorical "No."

This printed record was open to General Eisenhower. Yet, he deliberately misquotes McCarthy by implying that he had called Marshall a traitor. That he knew he was putting words into Joe McCarthy's mouth is shown by the form of Ike's statement.

The General again bungled when he declared, in the same conference, that "I am not going to support anything that smacks to me of un-Americanism — that is, un-American in character."

The inference is plain. The General was hinting that Sen. McCarthy is un-American.

When a reporter asked him if he would make the statement apply directly to Senator McCarthy, Ike said:

"I have told you many times that I am never going to mention a proper name in this

campaign. If you cannot take what I have said and apply it to the facts, I do not see what I can do about it."

In making such statements General Eisenhower apparently fails to realize that he himself is doing the very thing which the Left Wingers falsely charge Joe McCarthy with doing—he is uttering smears without naming names or offering evidence.

As a matter of fact, the General would have saved himself a lot of loose talk if he had familiarized himself with an expert study and appraisal of Senator McCarthy's record which recently was made by Kenneth Colegrove, Professor of Political Science of Northwestern University, at the instigation of the Freedom Clubs, Inc. The advisory committee of the Freedom Clubs includes such responsible people, as Past National Commander Erle Coker, Jr. of the American Legion, Admiral M. K. Fleming, Bill Crosby, Dr. Robert A. Milliken (Nobel Prize winner) Cecil B. De Mille, Edward V. Rickenbacker, Rupert Hughes, Dr. Rossow Pound, Dean Emeritus of Harvard Law School and Dean Clarence Manion of Notre Dame. Their conclusions can't be laughed off.

Here is what they say about Senator McCarthy: "The fact is that Senator McCarthy is a conscientious and devoted American who has rendered his country a real service in the face of intimidations which would have silenced a less courageous man. Amidst the cries of 'McCar-

Nixon, Denouncing Bigotry, Has a Bigot As His Campaign Manager

All this business about religious and racial bigotry in the national election is bunk.

Bigotry is bad business; but it is also a big business — a \$6,000,000 business — as the principal stock-in-trade of the un-American Anti-Defamation League and its smear network. Without a lot of hullabaloo about bigotry this nasty business couldn't flourish. So the issue has to be manufactured.

Early this month General Eisenhower issued a statement denouncing political argument "based on religious or racial prejudices." Gen. Ike's remarks were inspired by a lady from Minnesota, who had told him that in her state anti-Semitic propaganda "flooding the mails" included attacks on both the General and President Truman.

Although editors should have been bright enough to question the accuracy of a report that anybody was campaigning against Harry Truman at this late date, a rash of editorials waxed eloquent on the subject, praised the General for his stand, and many expressed the hope that Gov. Stevenson would follow his example. Nobody seemed to realize that appeals to racial and religious prejudice could hardly be used in a campaign in which none of the four major candidates were Catholics, Jews or Negroes.

thysm,' the Senator's most devastating exposures stand unanswered by anyone."

The nation could have admired General Eisenhower if, in the face of the yapping of the New and Fair Deal pack, he had spoken up with equal forthrightness about Senator McCarthy. Alas, the General is not that kind of a man.

But Senator Nixon's offense was even more incredible than the General's. For Eisenhower we can make the possible excuse of ignorance. For Nixon, there is no such out.

For Richard M. Nixon, according to *Newsweek*, is "one of the best-informed anti-Communists in Congress." He has built his national reputation on his connection with the famous Alger Hiss case. He was a member of the Committee on Un-American Activities, where he participated in intensive investigations of Communist strategists. He was also joint author of the Mundt-Nixon Anti-Communist bill. Certainly, he should be able to spot a Commy, or Fellow Traveler political trap, if anyone could.

Nevertheless, within the week, the chairmen of both the Republican and Democratic National Committees condemned bringing racial or religious bigotry into the 1952 campaign. These statements were inspired by a communication from Sol Feinberg of the American Jewish Committee, which operates jointly with the Anti-Defamation League.

Then on August 18th there was another development, with a very peculiar twist. An Associated Press dispatch out of Denver declared:

"A Los Angeles Jewish organization today denounced as utterly unfounded what it termed vicious 'insinuations of anti-Semitism' directed against Sen. Richard M. Nixon of California, the GOP vice presidential nominee."

"Seeking to counteract such attacks, Nixon made public a statement sent to him by the community relations committee of the Los Angeles Jewish Community Council."

"In a statement today Sen. Nixon said those who would 'stir up race hatred and bigotry are violating every concept of Americanism.'"

"The Los Angeles statement was signed by Judge Isaac Pacht, chairman of the Jewish Relations Committee."

There are three things wrong with this story:

First, Senator Nixon is not anti-Semitic and nobody ever has accused him of being anti-Semitic. Significantly, the Los Angeles statement does not say that he has been so accused; it merely says that there were "insinuations," which, if true, would hardly justify a public statement.

Second, the "Community Relations Committee" is only a cover for the Anti-Defamation

(Continued on page seven)

And yet Senator Nixon had the effrontery to say, when questioned by the press, that neither he nor Eisenhower has had the opportunity to look into McCarthy's charges. "Don't intend to comment on his methods or charges until I know the facts," he said.

What a lame and humiliating admission. The "facts" which Senator McCarthy has brought to light are the facts about the evil Communist cabal in Washington, from Alger Hiss to Owen Lattimore, which has betrayed American foreign policy in both Europe and Asia. Why is Nixon, the Communist expert, uninformed?

(Continued on page seven)

HEADLINES

AND WHAT'S BEHIND THEM

Published in the Interest of Speakers,
Witnesses, Students, and Everyone in Search
of the Permanent Facts.

Edited by
JOSEPH P. KAMP

Editorial and Business Office
342 Madison Avenue, New York 17, N. Y.
Subscription: (24 issues) \$2.50 a copy

Vol. IV, No. 4 August 29, 1952

The Legion vs. Adlai and Ike

Two new recruits have joined the Red smear brigade.

Their names—Dwight D. Eisenhower and Adlai Stevenson.

In their American Legion speeches, as reported by the press, both the bewildered General and the smooth Governor went out of their way to parrot some of the most objectionable abuse terms which Communists use against patriotic Americans. The General cried "witch hunt," and the Governor "thought police" and "climate of fear."

Probably they didn't realize, as they talked, that Legionnaires themselves have been called "witch hunters" and other things by all kinds of Reds.

No American leaders who have even an elementary understanding of Communist activity would think of using the expression "witch hunt" in reference to opponents of Communism. "Witch hunt" is a smear word which was invented by the Communists and their allies in an attempt to discredit good Americans.

The Communist Party actually sponsored a book under this title by George Seldes, party smear specialist with 64 citations of un-American affiliations in the files of Government investigative bodies. In this book, it would be interesting for General Eisenhower to know, Seldes repeatedly named the American Legion as the principal "witch hunting" organization in America.

Now General Eisenhower picks up this befuddled Communist epithet to hurl it at fellow-Americans. And he does so in a speech in which he proclaims himself an anti-Communist.

Governor Stevenson, likewise, followed the Communist party-line in his tirade against anti-Communists.

The Legion itself, in its AMERICAN LEGION MAGAZINE, (April, 1952) discussed this very question and effectively disposed of those who spend their time abusing anti-Communists, instead of concentrating on the Communies. In part it said:

"With thousands of American boys dead in Korea . . . you'd think that every American would be sufficiently aware of Communism to hate every aspect of it. But the sad fact is that even today a Commie or pro-Commie is likely to have an easier time of it than the person who makes an honest effort to expose subversives . . . If he strikes a sensitive nerve in the Commie apparatus . . . he soon learns how powerful are the forces arrayed against him."

He'll find himself fighting not just Reds or pinks, but, quite often, the most substantial people. . . .

"The people who take part in such campaigns are not Communists," the Legion monthly explained. "Usually, with all honesty, they can say, 'I hate Communism.' But the fact remains that someone, somehow, maneuvers them into doing the dirty work of the Communist Party—destroying the people who are hurting Communism."

"Why are they so foolish? Quite often it's because they've been sold a bill of goods that Communism isn't really so bad, and not nearly as evil as say, people who ask questions about Reds in strategic places. . . ."

All this applies perfectly to General Eisenhower, even the part about thinking Communism isn't really so bad, etc. After all, as President of Columbia University, he has retained an appallingly large number of outright Communists and Fellow Travelers on the faculty, even after their subversive records had been documented and submitted to him by a leading New York newspaper.

It also applies to Governor Stevenson because if he did not have such an attitude he would have been more careful than to come to the aid of Alger Hiss after such clear-cut changes of pro-Communist activity had been made against him.

Before these gentry pose again as experts on fighting Communism, they and their ghost writers had better do some searching home work on the subject.

If This Be Smearing — Make The Most Of It

After this issue, 'Headlines' will leave the Presidential campaign to the candidates and the voters and devote itself to other important problems facing the Nation. But before we drop the subject we feel constrained to make a few pertinent observations.

As we said in our March 15th number, we have no partisan interest in any party or candidate, we are interested only in the welfare of our country. In that spirit we considered it our patriotic duty to publish certain unpleasant facts that would better enable the American people to exercise their franchise intelligently.

Nobody has attempted to dispute the truth of what we have said. Nevertheless, we have been subjected to bitter criticism, and we have been smeared and harassed in the press and over the radio. We have been accused of injecting the issue of religious and racial bigotry into the campaign, even though not a single word about anyone's race or religion, has ever appeared in 'Headlines.'

We are used to harassment and smear from the Left, and ordinarily we ignore their attacks. We now depart from this policy only because some honest Americans have been convinced that we should not have called attention to the Anti-Defamation League links of certain promoters of General Eisenhower's candidacy. They were led to believe that we mentioned the ADL only as an excuse to bring in the Jewish issue, and smear General Eisenhower.

On the contrary, we consistently avoided calling attention to the Jewish character of the ADL because we do not consider it representative of the Jewish Community. It is our detractors who

Are Reds on Payroll Safe?

It is now crystal clear why the extreme Left, sparked by the ADA, is all-out for Stevenson.

Adlai is going to call off the communist hunt! He doesn't like loyalty probes, and purges of Communists from government jobs, and firings of Communist teachers from the schools. Particularly, he doesn't like anti-Communists.

He laid it all on the line in his speech before the American Legion. He labelled all this anti-Communist talk as "poison gas." He applied the "too zealous" tag to those who are wholeheartedly fighting Stalinism. He sneered that "patriotism" was becoming "a club to attack other Americans."

His speech dripped with continuous venom against those who have tried to erect defenses against Communism in America.

If Adlai is elected, the country now knows what to expect. We are to go back to the shameful days before the inception of the Committee on Un-American Activities when Communists strutted, arrogant and unexposed, in the top offices of Washington. We will go back to the days of Alger Hiss and Harry D. White and Owen Lattimore and Judith Coplon.

A whole era of anti-Communist progress is due to be blotted out, if the Stevenson ticket prevails.

Adlai, between the lines of his "anti-Commie" double-talk, made it very clear.

have injected the issue of race and religion.

We exposed the ADL's political machinations because, in our book, it is a subversive, un-American criminal conspiracy which is a grave threat to our country, a threat that becomes more dangerous when its influence is wielded in high places.

With this issue, 'Headlines' now has shown that the ADL has played a part in the selection of Eisenhower, Stevenson and Nixon, three of the four major candidates. The fourth, Senator John Sparkman, has himself been a willing tool and collaborator of the ADL, and has deliberately and dishonorably served its interests. We consider this to be not only sinister, but frightening, especially since the activities of the ADL are under the direction of a former member of the Communist spy ring.

Just consider the fact that no matter who is elected President, or who succeeds to the Presidency by death, the Anti-Defamation League will have a private pipe line into the White House.

Under these circumstances, we repeat, we consider it our patriotic duty to give unaparing publicity to these facts.

If some of our readers, or others, either through malice or ignorance, insist on vilifying us by continuing to apply the smear label to our presentation of the facts, we refer them to the words of Senator Richard Nixon, who, after emphasizing that he was in "complete opposition to personal attacks or smears," said:

"Any part of a candidate's record that affects his qualifications for office should be discussed, and if that be smearing — make the most of it."

AD and IKE — They're BOTH Alike

When American voters go to the polls to elect a President this November, they won't have much of a choice.

Both Eisenhower and Stevenson are cut from the same political pattern, although one calls himself Republican and the other Democrat.

Both are committed to virtually identical programs, in domestic and foreign policy.

Both have similar habits of mind — and their general philosophies of government are strikingly alike.

In practical terms, it will mean very little to the American people which of the two is victorious. Washington policies will remain the same — unless the American-minded public can somehow make its influence felt before the election to force commitments or concessions in American self-interest, from one candidate or the other.

A review of their amazing parallels is revealing.

Both are political accidents. Both were first proposed for the Presidency by Harry Truman.

Both were promoted for the Presidency by Boss Jake Arvey of Chicago; Ike in 1948, Adlai in 1952.

Both insisted that they must be "drafted" for the nomination; although both connived and maneuvered to insure their selection.

Both have unctiously declared their unfitness for the Presidency.

Both have been closely identified with the Roosevelt and Truman administrations, although both are now conspicuously trying to disassociate themselves from Harry.

Both incline strongly toward British viewpoints in foreign affairs, (Stevenson was a Rhodes scholar, and

NOT COPYRIGHTED

The contents of HEADLINES are not copyrighted. Any item or article may be reprinted, either in whole or in part.

We gladly extend this privilege in the hope that through wider circulation of "ALL THE FACTS" that should be printed, the American people will be more quickly alerted to the dangers threatening our country, and will assume their responsibility in helping to defend our free institutions.

When HEADLINES receives a credit line, we will greatly appreciate it if a copy is sent us for our files.

has been maladministration in carrying out Government policy, but both insist on being carefully unspecific.

Both are for the continuance of the Taft-Hartley Act, but both want to see it amended.

Both are for FEPC, but preferably through State action. Both have indicated that if the States fail to act, they would support Federal intervention, although both are deliberately vague about committing themselves on details.

Both endorse a "middle of the road" policy.

Both are against inflation.

Both are for prosperity.

Both are for FEACE.

Both are against "big government."

Both are against "McCarthyism."

Both are against Communism, but —

Both have condemned loyalty investigations — Eisenhower as a signer of the NEA condemnation of teachers' oaths, and Stevenson in his veto of the Brydies (Anti-Communist) Bill in Illinois.

Both are for the commitment of American troops, as well as money, to European defense.

Both are willing to acknowledge that mistakes have been made by "Washington" in the

Who Promoted and What's Behind Adlai Stevenson

(Continued)

the White House constantly, on every move. Congressman Manny Celler and Francis Biddle, two founders of the Socialist front ADA, also played a leading role, along with Leo Lerner, ADA treasurer and ADL dunkey.

The final move in the "draft" was the withdrawal of Averell Harriman. It was arranged by Arthur Schlesinger, Jr., who was "masterminding" Harriman's campaign, and Judge Samuel Rosenman, who were a big identification badge showing that he was a member of President Truman's private party. When Harriman withdrew, it was all over, Adlai was in.

What a motley crew to pick a President.

Now, that it is all over, Ruffalo Philip R. Alkat, writing in the Jewish Examiner, August 8, 1952, boasts that "the strategy of the successful 'Draft Stevenson' movement was worked out in the office of Congressman Sidney Yates." Yates, from Illinois, is just another one of the ADL's smart boys. He inserts ADL smear propaganda into the Congressional Record, and then has reprints made for the ADL's use.

What kind of influences were represented in the group that engineered the Stevenson "draft" can be judged from the backgrounds of a few of the principal participants.

Jack Kroll has been a lifelong leader in the Amalgamated Clothing Workers. A New York Legislative Committee, after an investigation of Red movements in 1922, said that the Amalgamated was "in reality an industrial arm of the Socialist Party of America, working for the objects of that party." In the years since, Amalgamated funds have been donated to Red Russia, Red Spain and the Communist Party, among other Red causes. When he registered as an alien during the war, Kroll noted that he had voted the Socialist ticket.

Max Lowenthal, a political agent of the Anti-Defamation League, is the author of a smear book about J. Edgar Hoover and the FBI. He was long an associate and former employer of the late Carol Weiss King, chief attorney for the Communist Party. He is Truman's political adviser and mentor, mainly because he sold Sidney Hillman on making Harry V.ices President, when FDR let Hillman run the 1944 Democratic convention, and the Democratic leaders had to "clear everything

(Continued on page eight)



Like Two Peas in a Pod

Far East, but neither has any concrete plan for ending the war in Korea, or for containing Red China.

Both are for a bi-partisan foreign policy.

Both are for reciprocal foreign trade pacts.

Both are for economy — and the reduction of taxes — so long as this does not involve scaling down our commitments abroad.

Both are for a bigger, better and broader social security program, but both are against socialized medicine.

Both are against high prices. Both deplore the "mess" in Washington.

Both denounce corruption in the Federal establishment and promise to root it out, but both are reluctant to name names.

Both are agreed that there

WHAT SHALL WE DO NOW?

What shall we do now?

In the past six weeks HEADLINES has received many thousands of letters asking this question. And, from all reports, there are many millions of American-minded voters throughout the country making similar queries.

Since the two internationalist-dominated Chicago conventions, these pro-Americans find themselves partyless.

Millions of aroused citizens were eager to go to the polls this November to cast their vote against Achesonism, and Hissism and Europe-firstism; now they have no one to vote for.

Between Eisenhower and Stevenson, despite their shadow-boxing, the only difference is one of style. Adlai speaks with an Oxford falsetto and Ike talks with a Kansas twang; but both are for the same thing; both serve the same political masters.

So, what is the American-minded voter going to do? Several suggestions have been made.

Some propose to vote for Eisenhower as the lesser of two evils.

This would appear to be a reasonable proposal but it should not be forgotten that as Eisenhower victory would mean a complete taking over of the Republican Party by the unscrupulous crew who put over Ike in Chicago, and the permanent elimination of such men as Herbert Hoover, Senator Taft, Majority Leader Martin, Senator Bricker, Senator McCarthy and others who have been the conscience of the party. Yet, a heavy vote for American-minded Congressional candidates might prevent such a catastrophe.

Others suggest that disappointed Republicans vote for Stevenson. Behind this is the belief that a Stevenson victory would so discredit Ike and his managers, that the American-minded Republicans could take back the Party, to make a real fight in 1956.

The trouble with this proposal is that it is too desperate a gamble with the nation's safety. Stevenson, with his CIO and ADA wrecking crew at his side, could do irreparable harm in the next four years — both on the foreign and domestic fronts. They could wreck our country! Can conscientious Americans afford to take that risk?

Still others plan to stay home on election day as a tacit protest against Ike. The folly of this proposal is that many right-thinking and responsible Senators and Congressmen would go down in

the Ike debacle. A Stevenson landslide could reduce the Republican Party to the utter Congressional feebleness of 1936. The American-minded nucleus in Congress must be preserved and enlarged.

However, some kind of personal decision must be made by each voter in casting his ballot for President. The alternatives must be weighed.

Admittedly, neither Stevenson nor Eisenhower is acceptable to American-minded voters. Both are supported by the internationalist junta. But Stevenson is also the creature of the CIO and the ADA.

Conscientious voters must decide whether this distinction will justify supporting Eisenhower. Many voters of real integrity may feel that they could not vote FOR Eisenhower under any circumstances, yet they might feel justified in voting AGAINST Stevenson. It is a hard choice for Americans. It is a choice every voter must make for himself. Coming developments in the campaign may throw further light on the decision.

Yet another idea is the immediate launching of a third party. This plan, which has been widely discussed, flies in the face of the fact that election laws in most of the States make it virtually impossible to get a third party ticket on the ballot at this late date. The chance to set up a third party this year was lost in Chicago when the Taft delegates took their defeat lying down, and General MacArthur withdrew from the scene. Third party efforts require time, the leadership of magnetic, politics-wise personalities, backed by huge amounts of money. They must spring from dramatic incidents which capture the imagination of the public. Such conditions do not now exist.

None of these proposals, sincerely offered though they are, promises any worthwhile results in this tragic hour.

But Americans must do something.

HEADLINES cannot offer a clean-cut answer to this haunting problem of the partyless, disenfranchised voters who are being denied their right to cast a ballot in support of their ideals. There are no rabbits to pull out of a hat. But we can give some long-range advice which will give American-minded voters some hope for the future.

CONCENTRATE ON CONGRESS

First, it is imperatively important that right-thinking Americans concentrate on Congress. We must face the fact

that the Presidency is lost, for 1952, whatever the outcome. But there is still another line of defense against the Truman-Acheson-Eisenhower-Stevenson-Dewey cabal — the Congress.

With the right kind of men in Congress, the worst mistakes of the White House can be halted, or countered.

It would be a short-sighted policy which would permit dis-appointment over Taft and MacArthur to lead to abandonment of such men as McCarthy, Bricker, Cain, Jenner and other conscientious patriotic candidates who must face the electorate this year. The main task of the American-minded voters is to throw all their resources and influence into the Congressional fights. A good list of those to be supported with all-out efforts is the blacklist recently published by the CIO.

ORGANIZE A MASS MOVEMENT

Second, good Americans should lose no further time in launching an active mass movement, which can work for American candidates and policies and make their influence felt within the Republican, the Democratic, and the future Third Party, when it comes. Situations are continuously arising in both parties, and on the national and international scenes which call for united and effective action. Today, the internationalists and the Leftists are organized and united; their opponents are largely unorganized and hopelessly divided.

The example of the Americans for Democratic Action which, with only a few thousand national members, has virtually taken over the Democratic Party, is eye-opening. ADA has power because its members are a cohesive, self-active group, who know precisely what they want, and who work intelligently and ruthlessly to attain it. Nothing comparable exists on the anti-Socialist pro-American front.

The time has come for the leaders of the American-minded majority to start playing smart politics; they can learn valuable lessons by watching how the Leftists do it. Hitherto, our side has played incredibly stupid politics: as witness the failure to rally about General Douglas MacArthur when his popularity was at its crest.

The only way that the American-minded majority can make its influence felt is to organize in a militant mass movement. If such a movement is to become a national force to be reckoned with, it must have leaders of unquestionable character and capability, and with courage enough to put the fear of God into the craven

hearts and little minds of office-holders who stray from the true path of enlightened American self-interest.

The magic of this idea of a pro-American movement is demonstrated by the fact that an Anti-Defamation League-dominated outfit which played a two-faced role in the Taft pre-convention fight — the Goodwin-Spolansky 'Volunteers for Taft' — has tried to head off, or seize the leadership of a genuine fighting pro-American mass movement by setting up a spurious one of its own.

American-minded Americans must be alertly on guard against such bogus leadership.

BUILD A THIRD PARTY

Third, a task for pro-Americans must be the evolution of a vital Third Party. Such a Party could take the field in 1954 and 1956 with history-making effect. Millions are waiting to join it.

Col. Robert R. McCormick has already sounded the alert for such a Third Party — the American Party. The immense body of Republicans who are sick to death of seeing their national conventions bought out, and sold out before their eyes every four years, by Eastern internationalist corruption funds and pressures, will turn to such a party with relief. The great army of Democrats who are fed up with the Humphreys and the Lehmans, and the Franklin D. Roosevelt Jr.s. would embrace such a party with enthusiasm.

Several new parties have been set up in recent weeks. Most of them represent little more than enthusiasm, but out of such sincere efforts an effective party will emerge.

There is a great void in American national politics which a genuine Third Party can fill. But it must have practical and responsible leadership, political know-how, and funds comparable to those at the disposal of the Republicans and Democrats. It is not too optimistic to anticipate that such a party will play the deciding role in the 1956 election.

These are some of the things that the discouraged pro-Americans can work for now with some assurance of success. None of them can be achieved by half-heartedness, or by men discouraged by smears. The cocky crew who are now on top in both major parties will not relinquish power without some pretty foul in-fighting. Those who would save America must be prepared to face the mud-guns. But with intelligence, persistence and stout-headedness, the American-minded can prevail, and save America.

So let's stop licking our wounds, and go to work.

Eisenhower and Nixon vs. Senator Joe McCarthy

(Continued)

A show-up of Nixon's value as a commentator on McCarthyism, although it was not intended as such, was the recent column by George E. Sokolsky, titled "State Department Had Facts of Soviet Spy Plot." Sokolsky is a private individual, who does not have access to government documents, nor the power of subpoena, as has Nixon. And yet Sokolsky has had no difficulty in ascertaining the truth about the McCarthy charges.

Says Sokolsky: "When all the evidence taken by the McCarran Committee is read, Joe McCarthy's various statements are as mild compared with the actual confessions of the persons involved in the affairs of the Institute of Pacific Relations. IT IS EVEN POSSIBLE TO SAY NOW THAT JOE MCCARTHY'S ERROR WAS NOT THE ACCUSATIONS HE MADE BUT THE MILDNESS OF THEM."

Why didn't Candidate Nixon, if not Ike, make a similar straight-forward reply to the 'Liberal' columnists and reporters, and New and Fair Deal sharpies who were trying to put the Republican candidates on the spot? There was time enough to be prepared. The issue had been building up over a period of days. It hit the papers first when Paul Hoffman made a deposition in Senator McCarthy's \$2,000,000 libel suit against Senator Benton.

In fact, if they were smart enough, either Ike or Dick could have made the retort wonderful because on June 4th Senator Benton admitted under oath that the Democratic National Committee helped him prepare the charges against McCarthy. They could have branded the whole business for what it is — a political smear.

And, if he had applied his talents as an anti-Communist investigator, Senator Nixon could have made a retort that would have been even more devastating. He could have pointed out that Senator Benton had conceded that the Democratic National Committee staff member who had assisted him in assembling the charges against Senator McCarthy was one Kenneth Birkhead, who had been hired by Democratic headquarters because of his experience in professional smearing as the Executive Director of the notorious misnamed Friends of Democracy, which is listed as a Communist front by the

McCarran Committee, and which is headed by Rex Stout, a former editor, executive and part owner of the NEW MASSES, the Communist weekly.

Had the Republican candidates given these answers, they would have silenced the opposition on the issue of McCarthyism for the duration of the campaign.

The net result of this quibbling has been to lose votes on both sides of the McCarthy issue.

Of course, it could have been worse. Had Dick (Tracy) Nixon dug back far enough he would have discovered that Kenneth Birkhead and his Friends of Democracy were part and parcel of the spy and smear network of the powerful, subversive Anti-Defamation League, the original promoters of Ike's candidacy. And if he had been courageous enough to tell the truth to the public, he and his senior partner might have been denounced as smearers, bigots and anti-Semites by Senator Taft.

That would have been poetic justice!

Nixon Denounces Bigotry Has a Bigot for Manager

(Continued)

League, and the ADL makes a business of falsely accusing people of being "anti-Semitic," never before has it come to the defense of anyone accused of being anti-Semitic.

Third, Senator Nixon, as an expert on Communism, is out of character when he endorses and gives currency to a statement signed by a notorious supporter of numerous Communist fronts and activities in his state, whose Red affiliations have been exposed by both the Congressional Committee on Un-American Activities and the California Committee on Un-American Activities, which accused Judge Isaac Pacht of operating in "Stalinist orbits."

Obviously, the whole business was a crude attempt to inject religious and racial bigotry into the campaign.

This transparent publicity stunt was arranged to further the ADL racket. It was instigated by Nixon's one-man political brain trust, Murray Chotiner, an agent of the Anti-Defamation League, and a member of the committee which sponsored the statement.

According to the Los Angeles Examiner (July 12, 1952), it was the behind-the-scenes maneuvering of Murray Chotiner that won the Vice Presidential nomination for Senator Nixon, who immediately appointed Chotiner as his campaign manager and personal representative with the Repub-



NIXON

lican National Committee.

A protest against the injection of "anti-Semitism" into the national political picture arranged through Chotiner comes with particularly bad taste since only recently he and the Anti-Defamation League used the false accusation of anti-Semitism to stir up religious and racial hatreds in order to defeat Senator Jack Tenney of California in his bid for a Congressional nomination.

Senator Tenney, with sixteen years legislative experience, had been reelected to the State Senate in 1950 by better than a million and a quarter votes, running ahead of both Governor Warren and Senator Knowland. He was favored to win the Congressional nomination without much effort. But Senator Tenney was beaten by a young man without background, experience or fixed political opinions after Murray Chotiner and the Anti-Defamation League organized a smear campaign, injected the issue of racism, and falsely implied that Tenney was anti-Semitic by linking his name with Gerald L. K. Smith.

Senator Tenney had met Smith just once several years ago when Tenney was Chairman of the California Committee on Un-American Activities, a post which he filled with courage and distinction. Smith told Tenney that the charges being made against him of purveying anti-Semitism and promoting racial and religious bigotry were false. He invited Senator Tenney to investigate his activities.

After Smith had left his office, Senator Tenney had a subpoena drawn to serve on Smith, but before it was served he got a phone call from Mendel Silberberg of the Anti-Defamation League who requested him to hold up the subpoena until he had confer-

red with the League's Executive Board. Tenney met with the board the following day and was told that the ADL had an agreement with the press to give Gerald L. K. Smith the "silent treatment" and that they — the board — were fearful that if Smith testified at a hearing of the Un-American Activities Committee it would bring about a situation that the newspapers would be unable to ignore. The ADL board members said that Smith would use his appearance to stir up racial prejudice and hatred and it would be in the public interest to deny him such an opportunity. The argument sounded reasonable and Senator Tenney complied with the ADL request and the subpoena for Smith was never served.

In his subsequent campaign for reelection Senator Tenney was smeared by ADL agents as a "pal of Gerald L. K. Smith" because he had failed to subpoena Smith before his Committee.

Senator Nixon is in a very embarrassing position. Nobody can quarrel with a denunciation of bigotry, but when the Senator allowed himself to be used as a stooge in promoting the Anti-Defamation League's smear propaganda campaign, that is another matter.

If Senator Nixon is really concerned about the use of religious and racial bigotry in political campaigns, then he should show his sincerity by firing his campaign manager, Murray Chotiner, and by denouncing Chotiner and the ADL for their vicious and lying smear campaign against Senator Jack Tenney of California, a campaign in which Senator Nixon's name was effectively used as endorsing Senator Tenney's opponent.

Nation's Reds Gang-up to Beat Sen. Joe McCarthy

(Continued)

The most scurrilous newspaper opposition which McCarthy is encountering in the State comes from the Madison Capital Times, published by the militant New Dealer, William T. Evjue. The city editor of the Capital Times has admitted, under Federal investigation, that he was a member of the Communist Party. Despite this revelation, Evjue has defended him and retained him on the job.

Straight-thinking Americans have experienced too many defeats at the hands of the subversive groups in the last decade to take the McCarthy fight lightly. Senator Joe McCarthy deserves and should have the active, financial and moral support of every good citizen in Wisconsin and throughout the country.

New Book Tells How New Deal Officials Gave Russia the A-bomb

FROM MAJOR JORDAN'S DIARIES, By George Racey Jordan, with Richard L. Stokes, Harcourt, Brace & Co., 284 pp., illustrated, \$3.50.

By LAMBERT FAIRCHILD

The fully documented account of how "Russia First-ers" in the New Deal sent atomic materials, technical information and blueprints — the know-how on making the A-bomb — to Moscow in March, 1943, a full year before the Red spy ring got into operation, is just one of a series of sensational revelations in a book to be issued on September 16th by Harcourt Brace & Co., the most conservative of publishers. The title is "From Major Jordan's Diaries."

Major George Racey Jordan, the author, received wide public notice a few years ago when he told part of his story on the Fulton Lewis, Jr., broadcasts, and in testimony before the Committee on Un-American Activities. There was so much controversy at the time and what he had to tell was so misrepresented to the public by Left Wing writers and commentators sympathetic to Russia and the New and Fair Deals, that he has devoted the intervening years to finding proof of every phase of his story.

Now, on the basis of investigations made by FBI agents and Congressional investigators, and researches conducted by himself and his collaborator, Richard L. Stokes, veteran journalist and former war correspondent for the *St. Louis Post-Dispatch*, the full story is being told for the first time in this forthcoming book. It combines formidable documentation with an eloquence of narration which the public will find as enthralling as it shocking.

This book throws a flood of penetrating light on one of the least known and most discreditable chapters of our relations with Russia. Major Jordan, as expeditor of Lend-Lease shipments to what were then laughingly known as "our Soviet allies," was in a key position to see what was going on. What troubled him was the complete absence of censorship, customs and immigration controls over shipments to Russia, including tons upon tons of secret information dispatched under the guise of "diplomatic immunity."

The fact is that Jordan, without having at the time been aware of it, occupied one of the prize listening posts of the war. In addition to a love



MAJOR JORDAN

of country even then becoming outmoded, he had individual qualities making it possible to take ample advantage of his opportunity. He possessed powers of memory fit to drive an elephant to hide its shame in the jungle, the restless curiosity of a mongoose and a packrat's instinct for hoarding — the treasures, in his case, having been documents of any and all kinds. Like the "chief" in the poem of Robert Burns, he had a passion for "taking notes." The mass of papers, records, and notes, detailed and accurate, with which he emerged from the war, and on which the book is based, filled several cabinet cases.

Major Jordan's disclosures make it clear that the outrageous illegal bounty which was lavished upon the Kremlin (much of it after the war was over), has been mainly responsible for building post-war Red Russia into a world menace. The logical result should be a drastic Congressional investigation of Russian Lend-Lease.

One asset of the book is its timeliness. It appears at the start of a momentous Presidential campaign in which the chief issue, according to White House authority, and the only one on which the Democratic Party can run, is the record of the Roosevelt - Truman regime, including the treason the Major writes about.

In any event, this book is certain to be both a literary and political bombshell.

HEADLINES readers are urged to buy and read this book. Copies may be ordered through HEADLINES. Use the order form on this page.

Who Promoted and What's Behind Adlai Stevenson

(Continued)

with Sidney."

Emanuel Celler, a member of Congress, has 34 citations in the files of the Committee on Un-American Activities for his affiliations with Communist fronts. He recently got a lot of unfavorable publicity by demanding that General MacArthur's retirement pay be stopped, and that he be deprived of his army aides.

Francis Biddle used to write poetry for a Communist magazine, *The Liberator*, which was partly owned by his wife.

Walter Reuther was a rabid Socialist in his early years, then he fell for Communism, and went off to Moscow to worship at the Kremlin. On his return he worked hand-in-glove with the Communists, raised money for the Red cause in Spain, became a leader in the bloody sit-down strikes organized under Communist direction. He claims he's an 'Anti-Communist' now.

David Dubinsky has been a Socialist since his early youth. In the twenties, New York's Lusk Committee investigating subversive activities, reported that the union which Dubinsky heads, the *International Ladies Garment Workers Union*, "is closely affiliated with the Socialist Party, and stands for the principles and tactics advocated by the party," and that it "seeks to bring about the overthrow of the present system of society." While he claims to have been consistently anti-Communist, Dubinsky has collaborated with the Communists, and acted as a front for them by heading a drive to raise funds to support the Red "People's Front" in Spain.

Now it could be that this 'Liberal' - Socialist - CIO - ADL - ADA cabal might not have any influence with the Governor.

That could be, but it isn't.

For no sooner had Adlai Stevenson won the nomination than he began showing his appreciation, and acting like a captive candidate.

He appointed the first head of the Socialist ADA, Wilson W. Wyatt, as his campaign manager.

He selected Prof. Arthur Schlesinger, Jr. of Harvard, a columnist for the Left Wing *New York Post* and a Vice President of the ADA, as his chief speech writer.

He named James Loeb, for years Executive Secretary of the ADA, as his principal political adviser.

He chose Stephen A. Mitchell, who was serving as counsel to a Congressional Committee, as Chairman of the Democratic National Committee. Mitchell was appointed to his Congressional job on the recommendation of Emanuel Celler and William O. Douglas, the man whom the former Communist-front followers of Henry A. Wallace wanted as their "peace" candidate for President this year.

Now comes word that Walter Reuther has joined the Governor's strategy board.

And the exceptionally reliable columnist, Danton Walker, items that David Niles, the political master-mind who acted as a Presidential minority-group Secretary for both Roosevelt and Truman, is going to "take a key role in the Stevenson campaign acting as backstage adviser to Wilson Wyatt and Jake Avery."

Niles, a one-time IWW agitator, was active in Communist fronts before he joined the White House staff, where he acted as an agent for the Anti-Defamation League.

By surrounding himself with such sorry specimens, Governor Stevenson has demonstrated that he is not a free agent, and that if he should be elected President of the United States he will be the captive of Socialist, labor and minority pressure groups...

HEADLINES

342 Madison Avenue, New York 17, N. Y.

I want to get "ALL the FACTS that should be printed."

☐ I enclose \$2.00 for my subscription (24 issues) to HEADLINES.

☐ I enclose \$_____ for _____ copies of the book:

FROM MAJOR JORDAN'S DIARIES
284 pp., illustrated, \$3.50

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____